100 Received August 30,1993@ 410p.m.

## **ORIGINAL**

	6		
1	TRI	ANSCRIPT OF PROCE	BEDINGS
2		Before the	
3		L COMMUNICATIONS ashington, D.C.	
4		ioningcon, b.c.	
5			
6	IN RE APPLICATIONS OF	•	MM DOCKET NO. 93-107
7			
8	Westerville, Ohio		RECEIVED
9			SEP - 8 1993
10			FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
11			OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	DATE OF SESSION: Aug	ust 20, 1993	VOLUME: 2
25	PLACE OF SESSION: Wa	shington, D.C.	PAGES: 28-129

1	Before the		
2	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554		
3			
4	In Re Applications of:		
5	) MM Docket No. 93-107		
6	Westerville, Ohio		
7	<b>,</b>		
8	The above-entitled matter came on for evidentiary admissions session pursuant to notice before Walter C. Miller,		
9	Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Courtroom 4, Washington, D.C., on Friday, August 20, 1993, at 8:30 a.m.		
10	manifington, biol, on littley, hagast lo, 1990, do otto and		
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	On behalf of David A. Ringer:		
13 14	ARTHUR BELENDIUK, Esquire Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C. 1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 510		
15	Washington, D.C. 20036		
16	On behalf of ASF Broadcasting Corporation:		
17	JAMES A. KOERNER, Esquire Baraff, Koerner, Olender & Hochberg, P.C.		
18	5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20015		
19	On behalf of Wilburn Industries, Inc.:		
20	MARJORIE CONNER, Esquire		
21	Brown, Nietert & Kaufman 1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 660 Washington, D.C. 20036		
22	On behalf of Shellee F. Davis:		
23	DAN J. ALPERT, Esquire		
24	1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. 7th Floor		
25	Washington, D.C. 20036		

1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2	On behalf of Ohio Radio Associates, Inc.:
3 4	STEPHEN T. YELVERTON, Esquire McNair & Sanford, P.A.
	1155 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005
5	On behalf of the Mass Media Bureau:
6	NORMAN GOLDSTEIN, Esquire
7	2025 M Street, N.W., Suite 7212 Washington, D.C. 20554
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		IND	EX		ł
2	<u>Exhibit</u>	I	dentified	Received	Rejected
3	Joint Engineering		4.5	40	
4	Exhibit No. 1		41	42	
5	David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 1		43	45	
6	David A. Ringer				
7	Exhibit No. 2		43	45	
8	David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 3		44	47	
9	David A. Ringer				
10	Exhibit No. 4		44	49	
11					
12	ASF Exhibit No. 1		50	51	
13	ASF Exhibit No. 2		50	52	
14	ASF Exhibit No. 3		50	57	
15	ASF Exhibit No. 4		51	58	
16	Wilburn Exhibit No. 1		59	60	
17	Wilburn Exhibit No. 2		60	63	
18					
19	Davis Exhibit No. 1		64	102	
20	Davis Exhibit No. 2		64	103	
21	Ohio Radio Associates				
22	Exhibit No. 1		105	106	
23					
24					
25	Session Began: 8:30 a.m.		Sessio	n Ended:	10:45 a.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(8:30 a.m.)  JUDGE MILLER: This is the date for the evidentiary
3	admission session in the Westerville, Ohio, FM proceeding, MM
4	Docket 93-107. We're here today to formally identify and
5	offer the exhibits that you exchanged last Monday, August
6	16th, 1993. Let's take some appearances. For David A.
7	Ringer?
8	MR. BELENDIUK: Good morning, Your Honor. Arthur
9	Belendiuk for Smithwick and Belendiuk.
10	JUDGE MILLER: For ASF Broadcasting?
11	MR. KOERNER: James A. Koerner of Baraff, Koerner,
12	Olender, and Hochberg.
13	JUDGE MILLER: For Wilburn Industries, Inc.? Let
14	the record reflect there was no response. For Shellee F.
15	Davis?
16	MR. ALPERT: Dan J. Alpert.
17	JUDGE MILLER: For Ohio Radio Associates, Inc.?
18	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, Stephen Yelverton of the
19	law firm of McNair and Sanford and also I'd like to note for
20	the record that 20 years ago today I joined the Commission as
21	a staff attorney, just out of law school, started on August
22	the 20th.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Well, that, that, that means that you
24	don't even if you were here, see, you wouldn't have 20
25	wears of service which is which is but you might have 17

1	MR. YELVERTON: Yes, sir.
2	MR. ALPERT: As Edward R. Murrow would say, a day in
3	infamy, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE MILLER: All right. For, for the Chief, Mass
5	Media Bureau.
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Norman Goldstein sitting in for
7	James F. Shook.
8	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Before we get started,
9	let me give a progress report on where we stand from an
10	interlocutory standpoint. My records show that I ruled on all
11	interlocutory motions except the two Motions to Enlarge issues
12	that have been filed against David A. Ringer by Shellee Davis
13	and Ohio Radio Associates on August 18th, 1993, that is two
14	days ago.
15	The only surprise I got that I had when I
16	received those motions was that when I went over the
17	interlocutory section at the on the 16th, nobody gave me
18	any clue that that was going to happen. But that's the way
19	life is, you see, there's always somebody that doesn't get the
20	word.
21	But any oppositions to or comments on Davis' and
22	Ohio Radio's motions aren't due until September 1st, 1993, and
23	assuming an opposition is filed on September 1st, the replies
24	will not be due until September 14th, 1993. This means that
25	these two enlargement requests are not ripe for adjudication

1	yet and it also means that if one or more of those motions are		
2	granted, that we're going to have to set up a new Phase 2		
3	date. I canceled it at the dates on the previous Phase 2		
4	date because of the dismissal of Westerville.		
5	But with those preliminary with that report out		
6	of the way, are there any preliminary matters that you want to		
7	bring up, Mr. Belendiuk?		
8	MR. BELENDIUK: Your Honor, just for the record I		
9	noticed that, I think, one or both of the motions were filed		
10	against my client. I haven't been served with either. I		
11	assume I will be in due course.		
12	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, if I, I could note for		
13	the record, the Petition to Enlarge Issues filed by Ohio Radio		
14	Associates was served by mail on the date noted and I have had		
15	the experience sometimes it takes two, three, or four days for		
16	mail delivery in the District of Columbia. And I have		
17	received some		
18	JUDGE MILLER: Well, the filing date was the 8		
19	the filing date was the 18th, wasn't it?		
20	MR. YELVERTON: That's when it was mailed to Mr.		
21	Belendiuk.		
22	JUDGE MILLER: I'm going to ask the question again,		
23	Mr I want you to answer the question and not answer what		
24	you'd want to what you did with Mr. Belendiuk.		
25	MR. YELVERTON: Yes.		

1 JUDGE MILLER: It was filed on the 18th, was it not? 2 MR. YELVERTON: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 JUDGE MILLER: All right. That's, that's what I 4 wanted to know. That was filed on the 18th and was mailed --5 and Mr. Yelverton says he mailed it to you on the 18th. you haven't received it, welcome to the club. Mr. Alpert? 6 7 MR. ALPERT: I'd just like to respond in similar 8 manner insofar as that, yes, my motion was also filed on the 9 18th and Mr. Belendiuk was served with the document on the It was, however, by mail rather than by hand service so 10 he should be receiving that, I would assume, shortly. 11 12 JUDGE MILLER: All right. Well, the dates that I 13 quoted took into account mailing. When I said that the opposition wasn't due until September 1st I, I counted ten 14 15 plus three and so I've, I've gone under the same assumptions 16 that you men have. 17 Your Honor, I hate to ask. MR. BELENDIUK: I know 18 how you feel about motions for extensions --19 JUDGE MILLER: I know I -- I know you do, and I 20 wouldn't, I wouldn't file -- I wouldn't ask for one until at 21 least I saw the pleadings and read them. 22 MR. BELENDIUK: Well, Your Honor, except that 23 there's, there's two problems. I have a brief due at the 24 Court of Appeals on September 2nd and your hearing starts on 25 the 31st. That's pretty much a full plate, even if it's --

1	even if it can be responded to. If I could have until, I
2	think the 3rd is a Friday and then I the 4th, 5th, 6th
3	the 7th, I think, is a Tuesday. If I could have until the 7th
4	to
5	JUDGE MILLER: How many days is that?
6	MR. BELENDIUK: Well, there's a weekend in between.
7	It's only two business days that I'm asking an extension for.
8	JUDGE MILLER: September 1st.
9	MR. BELENDIUK: Right. The 2nd is my, my brief
10	is well, actually three business days I'm asking an
11	extension for, because there's a three-day weekend in between.
12	JUDGE MILLER: Are you making a formal motion?
13	MR. BELENDIUK: I, I can, yes.
14	JUDGE MILLER: Well, not, not I know you can
15	MR. BELENDIUK: No, I mean
16	JUDGE MILLER: Let's, let's see if we can
17	I know it's early, but let's see if we can't wake up. Are you
18	making a motion
19	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE MILLER: or are you going to file a motion
21	or what how, how do you intend to seek relief?
22	MR. BELENDIUK: What I'm asking you, can I make one
23	orally? If that's okay with you?
24	JUDGE MILLER: You can make one orally. Have you
25	made one?

1	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, Your Honor.
2	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, Ohio Radio Associates
3	has no objection to, to the extension time request.
4	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Mr. Alpert?
5	MR. ALPERT: I also have no objection.
6	JUDGE MILLER: How do you feel, Mr. Koerner? Do you
7	have any feelings one way or the other?
8	MR. KOERNER: No objection, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Mr. Goldstein?
10	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Absolutely no feeling whatsoever.
11	JUDGE MILLER: What, what you want to do
12	7th.
13	MR. BELENDIUK: I don't have a calendar. It's the
14	Tuesday after Labor Day.
15	MR. YELVERTON: It's the 7th, Your Honor.
16	MR. BELENDIUK: The 7th, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE MILLER: It is the 7th?
18	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes.
19	JUDGE MILLER: Okay. Orally your oral motion is
20	granted and you have until the 7th of September 1993 to
21	respond to the two motions to enlarge that have been filed
22	against you that I just described. All right?
23	MR. YELVERTON: Your, Your Honor, I'd like to note
24	for the record you mentioned at the previous pre-hearing
25	conference that you weren't notified of the filing of this

1	Petition to Enlarge Issues. Ohio Radio Associates
2	JUDGE MILLER: I didn't, I didn't say I wasn't
3	notified. I just said that I went over a section in the pre-
4	hearing order called interlocutory motions. And I would have
5	thought that somebody would say, well, I'd like to tell you
6	judge, I've got another interlocutory in the mail.
7	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, I
8	JUDGE MILLER: But, but it's, it's no great moment.
9	MR. YELVERTON: Okay. Your Honor, I just want to
10	say, Ohio Radio Associates did not even focus on this Motion
11	to Enlarge Issues or start on it until the 17th. So, you
12	know, on the pre-hearing conference date it was not wasn't
13	a conceived idea.
14	JUDGE MILLER: All right. All right. Being's that
15	you were working late there.
16	MR. YELVERTON: Yes.
17	JUDGE MILLER: Or quickly. One of the two. Or
18	both. All right. But any I've completed what I had to
19	say. Do you have any preliminary matters you wish to bring
20	up, Mr. Belendiuk, outside of the one you just brought up?
21	MR. BELENDIUK: No, Your Honor.
22	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Koerner?
23	MR. KOERNER: Not at this time, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE MILLER: I can't ask Mr. Kravetz or Ms.
25	Conners, because they're not here. Mr. Alpert?

1	MR. ALPERT: Only, Your Honor, that I might note for
2	the record also that the
3	JUDGE MILLER: Good afternoon.
4	MS. CONNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. If you'll
5	give me a minute, I'll explain my tardiness and my
6	JUDGE MILLER: All right. All right. Just let me,
7	let me listen to Mr. Alpert, then I'll come to you. Okay?
8	MS. CONNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	MR. ALPERT: I might note, Your Honor, that at the
10	pre-hearing conference we had the hearing conference that
11	was held the other day, I had not yet filed the Motion to
12	Enlarge Issues, hence the I could not inform you of the
13	filing at that point. And also I might state for the record,
14	I'm going to be dropping off a copy to you this morning. I,
15	yesterday, filed a Motion to Enlarge Issues against Wilburn
16	Industries, Inc. And that is on file and your courtesy copy
17	will be dropped off, probably right after this hearing. And
18	those have been served by mail, so that three extra days
19	should be figured into any schedule that you might put
20	together for when oppositions or replies might be due
21	JUDGE MILLER: Well, they'll it'll have to be
22	included in, in, in the Phase 2 that's set up, because, I mean
23	if, if enlargement is granted.
24	MR. ALPERT: And I have no further preliminary
25	matters.

1	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Ms. Conners, do you have
2	a little speech you need to make here?
3	MS. CONNER: Well, yes, sir, Your Honor. I first
4	of all, I'd like to apologize for being late and my
5	appearance. Mr. Kravetz was supposed to be back from vacation
6	today and obviously something very wrong has happened that he
7	is not here. He certainly would not take your orders lightly.
8	I have not talked with him. I'm sure that maybe one of my
9	one of the partners at my firm has. But I got to the office
10	to brief him on what had happened on Monday and he was not
11	there so I ran over here. And I apologize for being late.
12	JUDGE MILLER: All right. When you, when you get a,
13	a few minutes, talk to Loretta Tolbin and she'll she's,
14	she's been the route. She can commiserate with you. Okay?
15	MS. CONNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE MILLER: Do you have any preliminary matters
17	you wish to bring up?
18	MS. CONNER: No, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Mr. Yelverton, any
20	preliminary matters you wish to bring up?
21	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, I have a Petition to
22	Enlarge Issues against ASF, which will be filed today and I
23	will accomplish hand service to all the parties and Your Honor
24	at least by the conclusion of the pre-hearing conference.
25	JUDGE MILLER: Conclusion of what?

1	MR. YELVERTON: By the time this conference is over.
2	JUDGE MILLER: Oh, this is an evidentiary admissions
3	session?
4	MR. YELVERTON: Excuse me, admissions session.
5	JUDGE MILLER: Yes. All right. I wouldn't want
6	the, I wouldn't want the Board to be mislead into thinking
7	that these, these are necessarily timely filed, Mr., Mr.
8	Yelverton, but I will that's something that I will take up
9	when we get to it. Okay. That's all we, we that'll be
10	included if, you know, if it's granted, that'll, that'll form
11	a Phase 2 for us, okay? So we now know where we stand. Two
12	motions to enlarge outstanding against Ringer, one yesterday
13	against Wilburn, and another one against ASF. So there we
14	are. Having completed the preliminary matters, are we ready
15	to get to the joint engineering get the joint engineering
16	exhibit out of the way, Mr. Koerner?
17	MR. KOERNER: Yes, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Proceed.
19	MR. KOERNER: I'm handing the Reporter the original
20	and one copy of the document consisting of a cover page with a
21	table of contents, declaration of John J. Mullaney, five pages
22	of text, and Figures 1 through 25. It's entitled Joint
23	Engineering Exhibit and I would ask that it be marked for
24	identification as Joint Exhibit 1.
25	JUDGE MILLER: All right. It'll be marked as Joint

1	Engineering Exhibit 1. That way we'll let's see. What is
2	today. Today is the 20th. It will be identified as Joint
3	Engineering 1.
4	(Whereupon, the document referred to
5	as Joint Engineering Exhibit No. 1
6	was marked for identification.)
7	JUDGE MILLER: Do you move it into evidence?
8	MR. KOERNER: I do, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE MILLER: Any objections, Mr. Goldstein?
10	MR. GOLDSTEIN: No, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE MILLER: Anybody want to be heard on the
12	proffer that has been made?
13	MR. YELVERTON: Yes, Your Honor. Ohio Radio
14	Associates would move to strike the exhibit with respect to
15	the application of Ringer and ASF on the basis that neither of
16	those applicants provided in their cost estimates for a
17	directional antenna and without having a directional antenna,
18	the coverage they propose could not be accomplished.
19	JUDGE MILLER: You're objection's overruled. And
20	the matter is those, those statistics will not be stricken.
21	I'll, I'll deal with your directional antenna allegations more
22	forthrightly in your Petition to Enlarge.
23	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, they are indeed in
24	there.
25	JUDGE MILLER: Yes, I am aware. All right. Anybody

1	else want to be heard? Joint Engineering Exhibit No. 1 is
2	received, subject to the ruling that I just made.
3	(Whereupon, the document referred to
4	as Joint Engineering Exhibit No. 1
5	was received into evidence.)
6	JUDGE MILLER: We come now to Mr. Belendiuk. Mr.
7	Belendiuk, are you ready to proceed?
8	MR. BELENDIUK: I am, Your Honor.
9	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, with the indulgence of
10	the court
11	JUDGE MILLER: You yes, you're, you're excused.
12	MR. BELENDIUK: Your Honor, at this time I'll be
13	giving Mr. Goldstein a copy of the petition
14	JUDGE MILLER: All right.
15	MR. BELENDIUK: Your Honor, I
16	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Goldstein, the way it stands now,
17	I'll make sure that Mr. Shook is notified when the date for
18	filing the proposed findings is concerned if he wants to put
19	that in a little squib about coverage.
20	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE MILLER: Okay?
22	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you very much.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Yeah. All right. Proceed, Mr.
24	Belendiuk.
25	MR. BELENDIUK: Your Honor, I've handed the Court

1	Reporter an original and one copy of a document entitled
2	Hearing Exhibits of David A. Ringer. That document consists
3	of four hearing exhibits being exhibit, which I would now like
4	to mark for identification. First, Exhibit 1, which consists
5	of one page and is entitled Ownership Structure and is
6	accompanied by a one-page declaration. I'd like to have that
7	identified as David A. Ring David A. Ringer Exhibit 1.
8	JUDGE MILLER: The document you just described will
9	be marked for identification as David A. Ringer Exhibit 1.
10	(Whereupon, the document referred to
11	as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 1 was
12	marked for identification.)
13	MR. BELENDIUK: Next, I'd like to have identified
14	I'd like to have marked for identification, David A. Ringer
15	Exhibit 2, entitled Integration Proposal, which consists of
16	two pages and a declaration.
17	JUDGE MILLER: The document you just described will
18	be marked for identification as David A. Ringer Exhibit 2.
19	(Whereupon, the document referred to
20	as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 2 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	MR. BELENDIUK: Okay. Next, I'd like to have marked
23	for identification, David A. Ringer Exhibit 3, entitled
24	Auxiliary Power. That exhibit consists of one page and a
25	declaration.

1	JUDGE MILLER: The document you just described will
2	be marked for identification as David A. Ringer Exhibit 3.
3	(Whereupon, the document referred to
4	as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 3 was
5	marked for identification.)
6	MR. BELENDIUK: Finally, Your Honor, I'd like to
7	have marked as David A. Ringer Exhibit 4 a document entitled
8	Diversification. The exhibit consists of one page and a one-
9	page declaration.
10	JUDGE MILLER: The document you just described will
11	be marked for identification as David A. Ringer Exhibit 4.
12	(Whereupon, the document referred to
13	as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 4 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	JUDGE MILLER: Do you offer Exhibit 1 in evidence?
16	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Koerner?
18	MR. KOERNER: No objection.
19	JUDGE MILLER: Ms. Conner?
20	MS. CONNER: No objection, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?
22	MR. ALPERT: No objection.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton?
24	MR. YELVERTON: No objection.
25	JUDGE MILLER: David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 1 is

1	received.	<b>\</b>
2		(Whereupon, the document referred to
3		as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 1 was
4		received into evidence.)
5		JUDGE MILLER: Do you offer Exhibit 2?
6		MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, Your Honor.
7		JUDGE MILLER: David A. Ringer Exhibit 2 has been
8	offered.	Mr. Koerner?
9		MR. KOERNER: No objection.
10		JUDGE MILLER: Ms. Conner?
11		MS. CONNER: No objection, Your Honor.
12		JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?
13		MR. ALPERT: No objection, Your Honor.
14		JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton?
15		MR. YELVERTON: No objection, Your Honor.
16		JUDGE MILLER: David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 2 is
17	received.	
18		(Whereupon, the document referred to
19		as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 2 was
20		received into evidence.)
21		JUDGE MILLER: Offer Exhibit 3?
22		MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, Your Honor.
23		JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Koerner?
24		MR. KOERNER: No objection, Your Honor.
25		JUDGE MILLER: Ms. Conner?

1	MS. CONNER: No, no objection, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?
3	MR. ALPERT: No objection, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton?
5	MR. YELVERTON: Yes, Your Honor, I have an
6	objection. It's indicated in the deposition transcripts of
7	Mr. Ringer that cost estimates for auxiliary power were not
8	included and without provision of such costs, auxiliary power
9	could not be accomplished. And I would cite to transcript
10	pages 28, 29, 31, and 32 that this item was not considered in
11	the cost estimates.
12	MR. BELENDIUK: Your Honor, if I if I may be
13	heard.
14	JUDGE MILLER: Go ahead.
15	MR. BELENDIUK: I, I don't have the benefit of Mr.
16	Ringer's deposition. Mr. Ringer will be called, I assume, as
17	a witness. Further, that's not my recollection of the
18	testimony. My recollection was Mr. Ringer's relying on the
19	former site and my recollection was that he was asked about
20	auxiliary power and did specify that he was proposing it.
21	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor
22	MR. BELENDIUK: without a specific line item in
23	his budget, which is what Mr. Yelverton is going towards, but
24	again this is something that can be brought out at hearing.
25	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, if I could respond to

1	that. Mr. Ringer testified that he assumed that Station WBBY,
2	which he'd be leasing facilities, had auxiliary power, but he
3	didn't know and didn't inspect and from what can be determined
4	from the deposition testimony of applicants that were familiar
5	with the facilities, there's, there's no indication that it
6	has auxiliary power.
7	MR. BELENDIUK: Well, now he's relying on someone
8	else's
9	JUDGE MILLER: Mr., Mr don't worry. Your
10	objection is overruled. Exhibit No. 3 is received.
11	(Whereupon, the document referred to
12	as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 3 was
13	received into evidence.)
14	MR. BELENDIUK: Thank you. By the
15	JUDGE MILLER: Whether he can or cannot do it is, is
16	a financial question. He promised that he's going to do it
17	and that's all that's, and that's all that I'm accepting this
18	for. Because he said he was going to do it. And I have no
19	problems with him what he says he's going to do. Now,
20	maybe if you can show if you get an issue that says that
21	he says he's going to do it, but he can't do it, well
22	that's another questions. All right. Exhibit 3's received,
23	subject to the ruling I've made.
24	JUDGE MILLER: Do you offer Exhibit No. 4?
25	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, Your Honor.

1	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Koerner?
2	MR. KOERNER: No objection, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE MILLER: Ms. Conner?
4	MS. CONNER: No objection, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?
6	MR. ALPERT: No objection, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton?
8	MR. YELVERTON: No objection.
9	JUDGE MILLER: I want Mr., Mr. Belendiuk, I am
10	not as familiar with this case as the other parties in this
11	room. There is a sentence that says he will sell his interest
12	do you see that sentence?
13	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE MILLER: What is it what is his interest?
15	MR. BELENDIUK: Oh, I see. His interest, if I
16	remember correctly, is a 25 percent interest. And I'll have
17	him bring that out on, on direct if that's a
18	JUDGE MILLER: Well, if you can see that the face of
19	this exhibit nor do don't do any of the other exhibits,
20	tell me what, what that interest is. All right? And I will
21	have some questions. Cross-examination questions of, of Mr.
22	Ringer on this exhibit, so that to bring out some, some
23	facts.
24	MR. BELENDIUK: Very good, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE MILLER: For example, when he acquired the

1	interest. Whether he acquired the interest prior to 12/30/91.
2	MR. BELENDIUK: I know that he did, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE MILLER: Well, but I'm just telling you
4	MR. BELENDIUK: Okay.
5	JUDGE MILLER: the, the area that I intend to go
6	in that I intend to have some questions about. Okay?
7	MR. BELENDIUK: Okay.
8	JUDGE MILLER: All right. With that, Exhibit No. 4
9	Ringer Exhibit No. 4 is received.
10	(Whereupon, the document referred to
11	as David A. Ringer Exhibit No. 4 was
12	received into evidence.)
13	JUDGE MILLER: And does that complete your
14	evidentiary submission, Mr. Belendiuk?
15	MR. BELENDIUK: It does, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE MILLER: All right. We proceed now to Mr.
17	Koerner. Mr. Koerner?
18	MR. KOERNER: Your Honor, I have handed the Reporter
19	an original and one copy of a document entitled ASF
20	Broadcasting Corporation, Hearing Exhibits. It consists of
21	four numbered exhibits. Okay. Marked for identification as
22	ASF Broadcasting Corporation Exhibit No. 1, a one-page
23	document entitled Description of Applicant, attached to which
24	is a one-page declaration.
25	JUDGE MILLER: The document you just described will

1	be marked for identification as ASF Exhibit No. 1.
2	(Whereupon, the document referred to
3	as ASF Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
4	identification.)
5	MR. KOERNER: I'd like to have marked for
6	identification as ASF Exhibit No. 2, a one-page document
7	entitled Diversification, attached to which is a one-page
8	declaration.
9	JUDGE MILLER: The document you've just described
10	will be marked for identification as ASF Exhibit 2.
11	(Whereupon, the document referred to
12	as ASF Exhibit No. 2 was marked for
13	identification.)
14	MR. KOERNER: I'd like to have marked for
15	identification as ASF Exhibit No. 3, a three-page document
16	entitled Ardeth S. Frizzell, attached to which is a one-page
17	declaration.
18	JUDGE MILLER: The document you've just described
19	will be marked for identification as ASF Exhibit 3.
20	(Whereupon, the document referred to
21	as ASF Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
22	identification.)
23	MR. KOERNER: I'd like to have marked for
24	identification as ASF Exhibit 4, a one-page document entitled
25	Auxiliary Power, attached to which is a one-page declaration.

1	JUDGE MILLER: The document you've just described
2	will be marked for identification as ASF Exhibit 4.
3	(Whereupon, the document referred to
4	as ASF Exhibit No. 4 was marked for
5	identification.)
6	MR. KOERNER: At this time, I'd like to move into
7	evidence exh what's been identified as ASF Exhibit 1.
8	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Ms. Conners, any
9	objections?
10	MS. CONNER: No, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?
12	MR. ALPERT: No, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton?
14	MR. YELVERTON: No, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Belendiuk?
16	MR. BELENDIUK: No, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE MILLER: ASF Exhibit No. 1 is received.
18	(Whereupon, the document referred to
19	as ASF Exhibit No. 1 was received
20	into evidence.)
21	JUDGE MILLER: Do you offer ASF Exhibit 2?
22	MR. KOERNER: I do, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Ms. Conners?
24	MS. CONNER: No objection, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?